

2002

# Edge-gracefulness of the composition of paths with null graphs

Wai Chee Shiu

*Hong Kong Baptist University, [wcsheu@hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:wcsheu@hkbu.edu.hk)*

Peter Che Bor Lam

*Hong Kong Baptist University*

Hee Lin Cheng

*Hong Kong Baptist University*

This document is the authors' final version of the published article.

---

## Citation

Shiu, Wai Chee, Peter Che Bor Lam, and Hee Lin Cheng. "Edge-gracefulness of the composition of paths with null graphs." *Discrete Mathematics* 253.1-3 (2002): 63-76.

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at HKBU Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Mathematics Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of HKBU Institutional Repository. For more information, please contact [repository@hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:repository@hkbu.edu.hk).

# Edge-gracefulness of the Composition of Paths with Null Graphs\*

Wai Chee Shiu, Peter Che Bor Lam and Hee Lin Cheng

Department of Mathematics,  
Hong Kong Baptist University,  
224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong,  
Hong Kong.

---

\*Partially supported by RGC, Hong Kong; and FRG, Hong Kong Baptist University.

Edge-gracefulness of the Composition of Paths with Null Graphs

All correspondence should be sent to:

Wai Chee SHIU  
Department of Mathematics,  
Hong Kong Baptist University,  
224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon,  
Hong Kong.

e-mail address: [wshiu@hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:wshiu@hkbu.edu.hk)

## Abstract

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $(p, q)$ -graph. Let  $f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$  be a bijection. The induced mapping  $f^+ : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $f$  is defined by  $f^+(u) \equiv \sum_{uv \in E} f(uv) \pmod{p}$  for  $u \in V$ . If  $f^+$  is a bijection, then  $G$  is called *edge-graceful*. In this paper, we investigate the edge-gracefulness of the composition of paths with null graphs  $P_m \circ N_n$ , where there are  $mn$  vertices and  $(m - 1)n^2$  edges. We show that  $P_3 \circ N_n$  is edge-graceful if  $n$  is odd.

**Key words and phrases** : edge-graceful, composition of graph, labeling matrix.

**2000 MSC** : 05C78

# Edge-gracefulness of the Composition of Paths with Null Graphs\*

Wai Chee Shiu, Peter Che Bor Lam and Hee Lin Cheng

Department of Mathematics,  
Hong Kong Baptist University,  
224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong.

## Abstract

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $(p, q)$ -graph. Let  $f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$  be a bijection. The *induced mapping*  $f^+ : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $f$  is defined by  $f^+(u) \equiv \sum_{uv \in E} f(uv) \pmod{p}$  for  $u \in V$ . If  $f^+$  is a bijection, then  $G$  is called *edge-graceful*. In this paper, we investigate the edge-gracefulness of the composition of paths with null graphs  $P_m \circ N_n$ , where there are  $mn$  vertices and  $(m-1)n^2$  edges. We show that  $P_3 \circ N_n$  is edge-graceful if  $n$  is odd.

**Key words and phrases** : edge-graceful, composition of graph, labeling matrix.

**2000 MSC** : 05C78

## 1 Introduction, Notations and Basic Concepts

In this paper, the term “graph” means finite multigraph (not necessary connected) having no loop and no isolated vertex. The term “set” means multiset. Set operations are viewed as multiset operations. For any positive integer  $r$ , we denote by  $[r]$  the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  by  $[r]$ . All undefined symbols and concepts may be looked up from [1]. A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is called a  $(p, q)$ -graph if  $|V| = p$  and  $|E| = q$ .

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $(p, q)$ -graph. Let  $f : E \rightarrow \{d, d+1, \dots, d+q-1\}$  be a bijection for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The *induced mapping*  $f^+ : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $f$  is defined by  $f^+(u) \equiv \sum_{uv \in E} f(uv) \pmod{p}$  for  $u \in V$ . If  $f^+$  is a bijection, then  $G$  is called *d-edge-graceful*. If  $d = 1$ , then  $G$  is simply called *edge-graceful*, and  $f$  an *edge-graceful labeling* of  $G$ . A necessary condition for a  $(p, q)$ -graph to be edge-graceful is:

$$q(q+1) \equiv \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \pmod{p}. \quad (1.1)$$

Edge-graceful was introduced by Lo [6] in 1985. Many researchers investigated on certain families of graphs [4]. It is known that a graph with  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  is not edge-graceful [2].


---

\*Partially supported by RGC, Hong Kong; and FRG, Hong Kong Baptist University.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph and  $S$  be a set. A *labeling matrix*  $\Omega$  of a mapping  $f : E \rightarrow S$  is a matrix whose rows and columns are named by the vertices of  $G$  and the  $(u, v)$ -entry is  $f(uv)$  if  $uv \in E$  and is 0 otherwise. If  $f$  is an edge-graceful labeling, then  $\Omega$  is called an *edge-graceful labeling matrix*. This representation of a labeling was first introduced in [7]. Therefore,  $f$  is an edge-graceful labeling of  $G$  if and only if row sums and column sums, modulo  $p$ , of the labeling matrix of  $f$  are all distinct.

## 2 A Necessary Condition for $P_m \circ N_n$ to be Edge-graceful

Given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ . The *composition* of  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted by  $G \circ H$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  in which  $(u_1, v_1)$  is adjacent with  $(u_2, v_2)$  if and only if  $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$  or  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $v_1v_2 \in E(H)$ . The following figure is the graph  $P_3 \circ N_2$ .



Let  $G = P_m \circ N_n$  and let  $V = V(G) = [m] \times [n]$ . We use the lexicographic order on  $V$ . If  $f : E(G) \rightarrow S$  is a mapping, then the labeling matrix of  $f$  is formed by

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} O & A_1 & O & \cdots & O & O \\ A_1^T & O & A_2 & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ O & A_2^T & O & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ O & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & O & A_{m-1} \\ O & O & \cdots & O & A_{m-1}^T & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $O$  is the  $n \times n$  zero matrix and  $A_i$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix. Note that  $G$  is an  $(mn, (m-1)n^2)$ -graph. From (1.1), we have

$$(m-1)n^2(mn^2 - n^2 + 1) \equiv \frac{1}{2}mn(mn-1) \pmod{mn} \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see that if  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and (2.2) holds, then  $m$  is even. Therefore, a necessary condition for  $P_m \circ N_n$  to be edge-graceful is:

$$mn \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} \quad \text{and} \quad n(n^2 - 1) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{m} & \text{if } mn \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m} & \text{if } mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

It is easy to see that for a fixed  $n > 1$ , there are finitely many  $m$  satisfying (2.3). The following conjecture was posed in [3] and modified in [8].

**Conjecture 2.1:**  $P_m \circ N_n$  is edge-graceful if  $m$  and  $n$  satisfy (2.3).

Lo [6] showed that  $P_m \cong P_m \circ N_1$  is edge-graceful if and only if  $m$  is odd. Shiu [8] showed that  $P_m \circ N_2$  is edge-graceful if and only if  $m = 4$  or  $m = 12$  by constructing some edge-graceful labeling matrices. Lee, Lee and Murthy [2] and Shiu [8] also showed that  $P_3 \circ N_3$  and  $P_3 \circ N_5$  are edge-graceful, respectively. Here we list one edge-graceful labeling matrix for each of these graphs.

For the graph  $P_4 \circ N_2$ , according the notations described in (2.1)

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the graph  $P_{12} \circ N_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, & A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, & A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 12 \\ 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, & A_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 14 \\ 15 & 16 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 19 & 20 \\ 17 & 18 \end{pmatrix}, & A_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 19 & 18 \\ 23 & 24 \end{pmatrix}, & A_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, & A_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 \\ 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 10 \\ 11 & 12 \end{pmatrix}, & A_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 16 \\ 15 & 17 \end{pmatrix}, & A_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 20 \\ 21 & 22 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For the graph  $P_3 \circ N_3$ ,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 8 & 9 \\ 7 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the graph  $P_3 \circ N_5$ ,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 20 & 21 & 15 & 16 \\ 2 & 19 & 22 & 14 & 17 \\ 3 & 18 & 23 & 13 & 18 \\ 4 & 17 & 24 & 12 & 19 \\ 5 & 16 & 25 & 11 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 7 & 8 & 1 & 10 \\ 15 & 14 & 13 & 5 & 11 \\ 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 9 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this paper, we work on  $P_3 \circ N_n$ . Since  $m = 3$ ,  $n$  is odd. For  $n$  is odd,  $n(n^2 - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  is always true. Thus  $P_3 \circ N_n$  satisfies (2.3) for any odd  $n$ . In the next section, we shall show that  $P_3 \circ N_n$  is edge-graceful if  $n$  is odd and  $n \geq 7$ .

### 3 Main Theorems

Let  $G = P_3 \circ N_n$ , where  $n$  is odd and  $n \geq 7$ . In this case (2.1) becomes

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} O & A^T & O \\ A & O & B \\ O & B^T & O \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

We have to fill  $A$  and  $B$  with elements of  $[2n^2]$  so that

$$R_{A+B} \cup C_A \cup C_B = \mathbb{Z}_{3n}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $R_{A+B}$  is the set of row sums of  $A + B$ ,  $C_A$  and  $C_B$  are the set of column sums of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

First, we arrange the elements of  $[2n^2]$  as the following  $n \times (2n)$  matrix

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n & n+1 & \cdots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ 4n & 4n-1 & \cdots & 3n+1 & 3n & \cdots & 2n+2 & 2n+1 \\ 4n+1 & 4n+2 & \cdots & 5n & 5n+1 & \cdots & 6n-1 & 6n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2n^2-2n+1 & 2n^2-2n+2 & \cdots & 2n^2-n & 2n^2-n+1 & \cdots & 2n^2-1 & 2n^2 \end{array} \right).$$

After taking the entries modulo  $3n$ , the above matrix becomes

$$\Psi = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n & n+1 & \cdots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 & 3n & \cdots & 2n+2 & 2n+1 \\ n+1 & n+2 & \cdots & 2n & 2n+1 & \cdots & 3n-1 & 3n \\ 2n & 2n-1 & \cdots & n+1 & n & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ 2n+1 & 2n+2 & \cdots & 3n & 1 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 3n & 3n-1 & \cdots & 2n+1 & 2n & \cdots & n+2 & n+1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n & n+1 & \cdots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

From now on, we shall use “=” to denote “ $\equiv \pmod{3n}$ ”, i.e., unless other state, the arithmetic is taken in  $\mathbb{Z}_{3n}$ .

The rows of  $\Psi$  appear periodically with a period of 6. The left and the right  $n \times n$  submatrices of  $\Psi$  are the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in (3.1), respectively. We can compute the  $i$ -th row sum  $r_i(\Psi)$  and the  $j$ -th column sum  $c_j(\Psi)$  of  $\Psi$  as:

$$r_i(\Psi) = \begin{cases} -n^2 + n, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ n, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ n^2 + n, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}, \quad (3.4)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$$c_j(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(2n^2+2n+1) + j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2n. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(2n^2+2n+1) = \begin{cases} 3k, & \text{if } n = 6k+1 \\ 15k+7, & \text{if } n = 6k+3 \\ 3k+2, & \text{if } n = 6k+5 \end{cases}. \quad (3.6)$$



and

$$n^2 = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = 6k + 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 6k + 3 \\ 2n, & \text{if } n = 6k + 5 \end{cases}, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $k \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1:**  $P_3 \circ N_n$  is edge-graceful if  $n$  is odd.

By swapping pairs of entries within same columns of  $A$  and  $B$ , the column sums of matrices  $A$  and  $B$  will not change. We shall show that we can choose suitable pairs of elements to swap so that the resulting matrix  $\Psi$  satisfies the requirement (3.2).

For convenience, we let  $A_1, \dots, A_6$  be the first 6 rows of  $A$  respectively, and let  $B_1, \dots, B_6$  be the first 6 rows of  $B$  respectively. Since the  $i$ -th row of  $\Psi$  is equal to the  $(i + 6)$ -th row of  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi$  contains a certain copies of  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ ; a certain copies of  $A_2$  and  $B_2$ ; and so on. For a row vector  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $r(\alpha)$  the sum of entries in  $\alpha$ . Sometimes, we shall view  $\alpha$  as a set.

**Theorem 3.1A:**  $P_3 \circ N_{6k+1}$  is edge-graceful for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** Keep the notation defined above in this section. The sets of rows of  $A$  and rows of  $B$  consist of  $k + 1$  copies of  $A_1$  and  $k$  copies of  $A_2, \dots, A_6$  each and  $k + 1$  copies of  $B_1$  and  $k$  copies of  $B_2, \dots, B_6$  each, respectively. From (3.5) and (3.6),

$$C_A \cup C_B = \{3k + 1, 3k + 2, \dots, 15k + 1, 15k + 2\}.$$

Thus we have to swap the entries of the same column of  $A$  and  $B$  such that the set of row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, 3k\} \cup \{15k + 3, 15k + 4, \dots, 18k + 3\}$ . From (3.4) and (3.7),

$$r_i(\Psi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ n, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 2n, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

First we consider the following differences.

$$A_{3,2} = A_3 - A_2 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, n - 2, n, n + 2, \dots, 2n - 3, 2n - 1);$$

$$B_{6,5} = B_6 - B_5 = (2n - 1, 2n - 3, 2n - 5, \dots, n + 2, n, n - 2, \dots, 5, 3, 1).$$

Since  $n = 6k + 1$  is odd,  $n \in A_{3,2}$ . Suppose  $n$  is the  $j$ -th entry of  $A_{3,2}$ . Then we swap the  $j$ -th entries of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ . Let the resulting rows be  $A_2^*$  and  $A_3^*$  respectively. Also,  $n \in B_{6,5}$ . Suppose

$n$  is the  $l$ -th entry of  $B_{6,5}$ . Then we swap the  $l$ -th entries of  $B_5$  and  $B_6$ . Let the resulting rows be  $B_5^*$  and  $B_6^*$  respectively. After swapping some entries of  $k$  copies of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  and  $k$  copies of  $B_5$  and  $B_6$ , the row sums of matrix  $\Psi$  become:

$$r_i(\Psi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 2n, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ n, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Now we consider the following three differences.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{1,4} &= B_1 - B_4 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n-5, 2n-3, 2n-1) \\ &= (1, 3, 5, \dots, 12k-3, 12k-1, 12k+1); \\ B_{3,2} &= B_3 - B_2 = (2n+1, 2n+3, 2n+5, \dots, 3n, 2, 4, \dots, n-5, n-3, n-1) \\ &= (12k+3, 12k+5, 12k+7, \dots, 18k+3, 2, 4, \dots, 6k-4, 6k-2, 6k); \\ B_{6,5}^* &= B_6^* - B_5^* = (2n-1, 2n-3, 2n-5, \dots, n+2, -n, n-2, \dots, 5, 3, 1) \\ &= (12k+1, 12k-1, 12k-3, \dots, 6k+3, 12k+2, 6k-1, \dots, 5, 3, 1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $x$  is an even number and  $3k+1 \leq x \leq 5k$ , then  $x$  is the  $j$ -th entry of  $B_{3,2}$  for some  $j$ . We swap the  $j$ -th entries of  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ , and let the resulting rows be  $B_2^x$  and  $B_3^x$  respectively. Hence,

$$15k+3 \leq r(A_2^* + B_2^x) = 12k+2+x \leq 17k+2$$

and

$$k+1 \leq r(A_3^* + B_3^x) = 6k+1-x \leq 3k.$$

Similarly, if  $x$  is an odd number and  $3k+1 \leq x \leq 5k$ , then  $x$  is the  $j$ -th entry of  $B_{6,5}^*$  for some  $j$ . We swap the  $j$ -th entries of  $B_5^*$  and  $B_6^*$ , and let the resulting rows be  $B_5^x$  and  $B_6^x$  respectively.

Then we have

$$15k+3 \leq r(A_5 + B_5^x) = 12k+2+x \leq 17k+2$$

and

$$k+1 \leq r(A_6 + B_6^x) = 6k+1-x \leq 3k.$$

After swapping  $k$  copies of  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ ; and the  $k$  copies of  $B_5^*$  and  $B_6^*$  for different  $x$  chosen suitably, we obtain  $k+1, k+2, \dots, 3k$ , and  $15k+3, 15k+4, \dots, 17k+2$  as row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$ .

Now, we handle the swapping of some entries of  $B_1$  and  $B_4$ . We want to obtain row sums of the resulting  $\Psi$  consisting of  $1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $17k+3, 17k+4, \dots, 18k+2$ . It is clear that we cannot reach our goal if only swap a pair of entries of  $B_1$  and  $B_4$ .

Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq y \leq k$ . If we can find some pairs  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_s, b_s)$  in  $B_1 \times B_4$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - b_i) = y$  where  $a_i, b_i$  are in the same column of  $B$ . Then we swap those entries and let the resulting rows be  $B_1^y$  and  $B_4^y$  respectively. Hence,

$$1 \leq r(A_4 + B_4^y) = y \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad 17k + 3 \leq r(A_1 + B_1^y) = 18k + 3 - y \leq 18k + 2.$$

$\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - b_i)$  is the sum of some entries of  $B_{1,4}$ . It is easy to see that for  $1 \leq y \leq k$  and  $y$  is odd,  $y \in B_{1,4}$  (i.e.,  $s = 1$ ). For  $4 \leq y \leq k$  and  $y$  is even, we choose 1 and  $y - 1$  from  $B_{1,4}$  (i.e.,  $s = 2$ ). For  $y = 2$ , we choose 1,  $n + 2$  and  $2n - 1$  from  $B_{1,4}$  (i.e.,  $s = 3$ ). Thus it is possible to find such pairs. After swapping the  $k$  copies of  $B_1$  and  $B_4$  for different  $y$  suitably, we obtain  $1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $17k + 3, 17k + 4, \dots, 18k + 2$  as row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$ .

Up to now, only  $18k + 3$  is missing as a row sum. But the sum of the last row of  $\Psi$  is  $0 = 18k + 3$ . Therefore we keep the last row of  $\Psi$ . Hence we obtain the required arrangement.  $\blacksquare$

We use the following example to demonstrate the above proof.

**Example 3.1:** Consider  $P_3 \circ N_7$ . Then

$$\Psi = (A|B) = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc|cccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 0 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 14 \\ 14 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 7 \\ 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 14 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 0 \\ \mathit{4} & \mathit{5} & \mathit{6} & \mathit{7} & \mathit{8} & \mathit{9} & \mathit{10} & \mathit{11} & \mathit{12} & \mathit{13} & \mathit{14} & \mathit{15} & \mathit{16} & \mathit{17} & \mathit{0} \end{array} \right)$$

The last row and the rightmost column in italics are the column sums and the row sums of  $\Psi$  respectively. First, we consider

$$A_{3,2} = (1, 3, 5, \underline{7}, 9, 11, 13), \quad B_{6,5} = (13, 11, 9, \underline{7}, 5, 3, 1).$$

Since  $n = 7$  is the 4th entry of  $A_{3,2}$ , we swap the 4th entry of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ . Also, because  $n$  is the 4th entry of  $B_{6,5}$ , we swap the 4th entry of  $B_5$  and  $B_6$ .  $\Psi$  becomes

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccccc|cccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 0 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 & \mathbf{11} & 3 & 2 & 1 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & \mathbf{4} & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 7 \\ 14 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \mathbf{11} & 5 & 6 & 7 & 14 \\ 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 & \mathbf{4} & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

The boldface numbers have been swapped. Since

$$B_{1,4} = (\underline{1}, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13), \quad B_{3,2} = (15, 17, 19, 21, 2, \underline{4}, 6), \quad B_{6,5}^* = (13, 11, 9, 14, \underline{5}, 3, 1).$$

We have the last version of the matrix  $\Psi$

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccccc|cccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & \mathbf{7} & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 20 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 & \mathbf{11} & 3 & 2 & 1 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & \mathbf{20} & 15 & 18 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & \mathbf{4} & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & \mathbf{16} & 21 & 3 \\ 14 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & \mathbf{8} & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \mathbf{11} & \mathbf{10} & 6 & 7 & 19 \\ 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 & \mathbf{4} & \mathbf{5} & 9 & 8 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 21 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & \end{array} \right)$$

The italic boldface numbers have been swapped. ■

**Theorem 3.1B:**  $P_3 \circ N_{6k+3}$  is edge-graceful for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** We shall keep the notation defined in the beginning of Theorem 3.1A, including the matrix  $A$  and the column sums of  $B$ . Rename the last 3 rows of  $B$  by  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  respectively. Then the set of rows of  $B$  consists of  $k$  copies of  $B_1, \dots, B_6$  each and  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ . From (3.5) and (3.6),  $C_A \cup C_B = \{15k + 8, \dots, 18k + 9, 1, \dots, 9k + 4\}$ . Thus we have to swap the entries of  $B$  such that the set of row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$  is  $\{9k + 5, 9k + 6, \dots, 15k + 7\}$ . From (3.4) and (3.7),  $r_i(\Psi) = n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

First we swap the first entries of all  $B_1$  with  $B_3$ ; and the first entries of all  $B_4$  with  $B_6$ . We denote the resulting rows by  $B_1^*, B_3^*, B_4^*$  and  $B_6^*$  respectively. Now,

$$r(A_1 + B_1^*) = 2n = r(A_4 + B_4^*), \quad r(A_2 + B_2) = n = r(A_5 + B_5), \quad r(A_3 + B_3^*) = 0 = r(A_6 + B_6^*).$$

We shall swap entries of the same column between  $B_1^*$  and  $B_4^*$ ;  $B_2$  and  $B_3^*$ ;  $B_5$  and  $B_6^*$ . First we

look for the following three differences

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{1,4} &= B_1^* - B_4^* = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n-5, 2n-3, 2n-1) \\
&= (1, 3, 5, \dots, 12k+1, 12k+3, 12k+5); \\
B_{3,2} &= B_3^* - B_2 = (-2n+1, -n+3, -n+5, \dots, n-5, n-3, n-1) \\
&= (n+1, 2n+3, 2n+5, \dots, 3n-2, 3n, 2, 4, \dots, n-3, n-1) \\
&= (6k+4, 12k+9, 12k+11, \dots, 18k+7, 18k+9, 2, 4, \dots, 6k, 6k+2); \\
B_{6,5} &= B_6^* - B_5 = (n-1, 2n-3, 2n-5, \dots, 5, 3, 1) \\
&= (6k+2, 12k+3, 12k+1, \dots, 5, 3, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $x$  is even and  $3k+2 \leq x \leq 5k+1$  then  $x$  is the  $j$ -th entry of  $B_{3,2}$  for some  $j$ . Swapping the  $j$ -th entries of  $B_2$  and  $B_3^*$  and letting the resulting rows be  $B_2^x$  and  $B_3^x$  respectively, we have

$$9k+5 \leq r(A_2 + B_2^x) = 6k+3+x \leq 11k+4$$

and

$$13k+8 \leq r(A_3 + B_3^x) = 18k+9-x \leq 15k+7.$$

Similarly, if  $x$  is odd and  $3k+2 \leq x \leq 5k+1$ , then  $x$  is the  $j$ -th entry of  $B_{6,5}$  for some  $j$ . Swapping the  $j$ -th entries of  $B_5$  and  $B_6^*$  and letting the resulting rows be  $B_5^x$  and  $B_6^x$  respectively, we have

$$9k+5 \leq r(A_5 + B_5^x) \leq 11k+4 \quad \text{and} \quad 13k+8 \leq r(A_6 + B_6^x) \leq 15k+7.$$

After swapping the  $k$  copies of  $B_2$  and  $B_3^*$ ; and the  $k$  copies of  $B_5$  and  $B_6^*$  for different  $x$  suitably, we obtain  $9k+5, 9k+6, \dots, 11k+4$  and  $13k+8, 13k+9, \dots, 15k+7$  as row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$ .

Now, we shall swap some entries of  $B_1^*$  and  $B_4^*$ . We want to obtain row sums of the resulting  $\Psi$  consisting of  $11k+6, 11k+7, \dots, 12k+5$  and  $12k+7, 12k+8, \dots, 13k+6$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1A, for  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq y \leq k$ , we have to find some pairs  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_s, b_s)$  in  $B_1^* \times B_4^*$  and  $a_i, b_i$  in the same column of  $B$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i, b_i) = y$ . Then we swap those entries and let the resulting rows be  $B_1^y$  and  $B_4^y$  respectively. Hence,

$$11k+6 \leq r(A_1 + B_1^y) = 2n-y \leq 12k+5$$

and

$$12k+7 \leq r(A_4 + B_4^y) = 2n+y \leq 13k+6.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1.A, for  $1 \leq y \leq k$  and  $y$  is odd,  $y \in B_{1,4}$  and  $4 \leq y \leq k$  and  $y$  is even, we choose 1 and  $y-1$  from  $B_{1,4}$ ; for  $y=2$  we choose 1,  $n+2$  and  $2n-1$  from  $B_{1,4}$ .



The boldface numbers have been swapped. Since

$$B_{1,4} = (\underline{1}, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17), \quad B_{3,2} = (10, 21, 23, 25, 0, 2, 4, \underline{6}, 8)$$

$$B_{6,5} = (8, 15, 13, 11, 9, 7, \underline{5}, 3, 1), \quad L_2 - L_1^* = (17, 15, 13, \underline{11}, 9, 7, \underline{5}, 21, 19), (z = 16 = 5 + 11).$$

We have the last version of the matrix  $B$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{18} & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 17 \\ 27 & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 & 22 & 21 & \mathbf{26} & 19 & 15 \\ \mathbf{10} & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & \mathbf{20} & 27 & 21 \\ \mathbf{19} & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 19 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \mathbf{12} & 8 & 9 & 14 \\ \mathbf{9} & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & \mathbf{7} & 11 & 10 & 22 \\ \hline 10 & 11 & 12 & \mathbf{24} & 14 & 15 & \mathbf{21} & \mathbf{26} & \mathbf{27} & 16 \\ 27 & 26 & 25 & \mathbf{13} & 23 & 22 & \mathbf{16} & 20 & 19 & 20 \\ 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & \mathbf{17} & \mathbf{18} & 18 \end{pmatrix}$$

The italic boldface numbers have been swapped. ■

**Theorem 3.1C:**  $P_3 \circ N_{6k+5}$  is edge-graceful for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** We shall keep the notation defined in the beginning of Theorem 3.1A, including the matrix  $A$  and the column sums of  $B$ . Let  $L_1, \dots, L_5$  be the last 5 rows of  $B$  respectively. Thus the set of rows of  $B$  consists of  $k$  copies of  $B_1, \dots, B_6$  each and  $L_1, \dots, L_5$ . From (3.5) and (3.6),  $C_A \cup C_B = \{3k + 3, 3k + 4, \dots, 15k + 11, 15k + 12\}$ . Hence, we have to swap the entries of  $B$  such that the set of row sums of the resulting matrix plus the matrix  $A$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, 3k + 2\} \cup \{15k + 13, 15k + 14, \dots, 18k + 15\}$ . From (3.4) and (3.7),

$$r_i(\Psi) = \begin{cases} 2n, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ n, & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 0, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

First, we consider the following three differences

$$\begin{aligned} B_{3,6} &= B_3 - B_6 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n - 5, 2n - 3, 2n - 1) \\ &= (1, 3, 5, \dots, 12k + 5, 12k + 7, 12k + 9); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2,1} &= B_2 - B_1 = (2n - 1, 2n - 3, 2n - 5, \dots, 5, 3, 1) \\ &= (12k + 9, 12k + 7, 12k + 5, \dots, 5, 3, 1); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{5,4} &= B_5 - B_4 = (2n + 1, 2n + 3, 2n + 5, \dots, 3n - 2, 3n, 2, 4, \dots, n - 3, n - 1) \\ &= (12k + 11, 12k + 13, \dots, 18k + 13, 18k + 15, 2, 4, \dots, 6k + 2, 6k + 4). \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq y \leq k$ , the approach is same as the proof of Theorem 3.1A by considering  $B_{3,6}$ . After suitably swapping the  $k$  copies of  $B_3$  and  $B_6$  for different  $y$ , we obtain  $1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $17k + 15, 17k + 16, \dots, 18k + 14$  as row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$ .

By considering  $B_{2,1}$  and  $B_{5,4}$  and using a similar approach of the proof of Theorem 3.1A, we obtain rows  $B_1^x$  and  $B_2^x$  for  $3k + 3 \leq x \leq 5k + 2$ . After suitably swapping the  $k$  copies of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ ; and the  $k$  copies of  $B_4$  and  $B_5$  for different  $x$ , we obtain  $k + 3, k + 4, \dots, 3k + 2$ , and  $15k + 13, 15k + 14, \dots, 17k + 12$  as row sums of the resulting matrix  $\Psi$ .

Up to now,  $k + 1, k + 2, 17k + 13, 17k + 14$  and  $18k + 15$  are missing as row sums. Consider  $L_{2,1} = L_2 - L_1 = (12k + 9, 12k + 7, 12k + 5, \dots, 5, 3, 1)$   
 $L_{5,4} = L_5 - L_4 = (12k + 11, 12k + 13, 12k + 15, \dots, 18k + 13, 18k + 15, 2, 4, \dots, 6k + 2, 6k + 4)$ .  
Let  $5k + 3 \leq z \leq 5k + 4$ . If  $z$  is odd, then  $z \in L_{2,1}$ . Assume  $z$  is the  $l$ -th entry of  $L_{2,1}$ . We swap the  $l$ -th entry of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , and let the resulting rows be  $L_1^z$  and  $L_2^z$  respectively. Hence,

$$17k + 13 \leq r(A_1 + L_1^z) = 12k + 10 + z \leq 17k + 14$$

and

$$k + 1 \leq r(A_2 + L_2^z) = 6k + 5 - z \leq k + 2.$$

Similarly, if  $z$  is even, then  $z \in L_{5,4}$ . We swap the suitable entries of  $L_4$  and  $L_5$ . Let the resulting rows be  $L_4^z$  and  $L_5^z$  respectively. We have

$$17k + 13 \leq r(A_4 + L_4^z) = 12k + 10 + z \leq 17k + 14$$

and

$$k + 1 \leq r(A_5 + L_5^z) = 6k + 5 - z \leq k + 2.$$

The sum of the row  $L_3$  is  $0 = 18k + 15$ . Hence, we obtain the required arrangement. ■



**Example 3.3:** Consider  $P_3 \circ N_{11}$ . Then  $\Psi = (A|B)$ , where matrix  $A$  is

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 22 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 22 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ \hline 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{array} \right)$$

and matrix  $B$  is

$$B = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 22 & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 \\ \hline 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ \hline 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} 22 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 11 \end{array}$$

The last rows of matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in italics represent the column sums of  $\Psi$ . The rightmost column of matrix  $B$  in italics represents the row sums of  $\Psi$ . We shall keep the matrix  $A$  and the column sums of  $B$ . First, we consider

$$B_{3,6} = (\underline{1}, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21),$$

$$B_{2,1} = (21, 19, 17, 15, 13, 11, 9, \underline{7}, 5, 3, 1),$$

$$B_{5,4} = (23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 2, 4, \underline{6}, 8, 10)$$

Therefore,  $B$  becomes

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & \mathbf{26} & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & \mathbf{19} & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ \mathbf{22} & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & \mathbf{9} & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \mathbf{3} & 10 & 11 \\ \mathbf{23} & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 \\ \hline 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} 29 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 28 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 22 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 11 \end{matrix}$$

Finally, we consider

$$L_{2,1} = (21, 19, 17, 15, 13, 11, \underline{9}, 7, 5, 3, 1), \quad L_{5,4} = (23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 2, 4, 6, \underline{8}, 10)$$

Hence, we have the last version of the matrix  $B$ , the italic boldface numbers have been swapped.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & \mathbf{26} & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & 27 & \mathbf{19} & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ \mathbf{22} & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & \mathbf{9} & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \mathbf{3} & 10 & 11 \\ \mathbf{23} & 21 & 20 & 19 & 18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 12 \\ \hline 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & \mathbf{27} & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 33 & 32 & 31 & 30 & 29 & 28 & \mathbf{18} & 26 & 25 & 24 & 23 \\ 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 28 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 \\ 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & \mathbf{10} & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \mathbf{2} & 11 \\ 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} 29 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 28 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 31 \\ 2 \\ 33 \\ 30 \\ 3 \end{matrix}$$

## References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, 1976.
- [2] L. M. Lee, S-M Lee and G. Murthy, On edge-graceful labelings of complete graphs—solution of Lo's conjecture, *Congressus Numerantium*, **62** (1988), 225-233.
- [3] S-M Lee and E. Seah, On Edge-Gracefulness of the Composition of Step Graphs with Null Graphs, *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithm, and Applications, Proc. 2nd Int. Conf., San Francisco, 1989*, 325-330, 1991.

- [4] S-M Lee, New Directions in the Theory of Edge-Graceful Graphs, *Proceedings of the 6th Caribbean Conference on Combinatorics and Computing*, 216-231, 1991.
- [5] S-M Lee, E. Seah and S. K. Tan, On edge-magic graphs, *Congressus Numerantium*, **86** (1992), 179-191.
- [6] Sheng-Ping Lo, On edge-graceful labelings of graphs, *Congressus Numerantium*, **50** (1985), 231-241.
- [7] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam and S-M Lee, Edge-magicness of the composition of a cycle with a null graph, *Congressus Numerantium*, **132** (1998), 9-18.
- [8] W. C. Shiu, Some Results on Edge-magic Labeling of the Composition of Paths with Null Graphs, *Technical Report*, **206** (1999), Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University.